Dear Les.

This is not an "I told you so" about the Vociana column and this morning's reporting of the CIA's disproof (which is not entitely unequivocal, as I suggest your man young ones study to become conversant with the speak semantics.)

Incidently, if this memo was not overly given to you by the flacks you might keep your mind open the next time you get something from that source.

As I remember it when I wrote some time agae I was cautioning you against what was being fed to you by and for the House assassins.

Now if you ever want to go the other way on the assassins, join my guest list. I will always believe that is wrong for one side is just as wrong for the other-that disinformation is the deepest of subversions in a society like ours.

What the memo you today report represents is the dearest love of the spooks I'me collecting a few of my own. To date I've found them fining me in only a single error, an accurate reporting of an inaccurate news item. I've caught them fabricating this kind of precious item for the saints on me and bombed back with the actualities. And they can be pretty vindictive. I've gotten the high-level FBI refords of their detemination, once I beat them in court and they were forced to give me what I sued for, to prevent my first-use - to keep me broke in one interpretation. And I've filed that in court. I do not think they are used to or will come to like this kind of reaction.

Perfection is not a himon state. The column's position and situation are not comparable with mine. I do my own work. The column has to depend on sources. To a degree I use my own sources but never independently. While my capability of interviewing sources is now drastically limitied, there has never been a time I have not been protty certain of what they could say before I asked. You can't be in this position. This is one of the reasons I've taken so much time for cautions.

So I again urge you to check assassination items out with me if they have the potential of turning the spooks on against you. My memory is not what it was and my files are not as accessible but where I do not know I may be able to refer you. The spook situation may get to the point where they may use the facilities they have hurtfully, not menaing violently.

Veciena, as I told you I'm sure, was in my files. The name Bishop seems familiar but I do not place it. The memo you report as reported claims no diseasociation from Veciena. The reason is his association with the CIA operation run by the late Scrafine Roumaldi of the AFL-CIC's CIA front os craset in labor. Roumaldi was the Latin american End, as Brown was Europe-Africa. Years age I was offered the use of the diplomatic pouch through Irving Brown in something I wanted from from France. Believe it or not, hatching eggs of a rare species of chicken. I knew Roumaldi slightly, socially. Ny knowledge came from a graduate student from whom I'd opened such sources. Some of the story was used about two years sgo by one with whom I used to have a relationship and to whom, from the need to protect my source, I'd asked confidentiality. Not knowing the committee's source other than the story, I can add no more.

Your younger ones, who I presume want to learn, might begin with the application of a few simple tests. The is this possible? Is it probable? Sping on to those they can ask from there.

As the committee let the story you used out it is impossible. The rrason is simple: Oswald then with certainty from what is known was not in Dallas. If you and the young ones will now indulge the avencular, there is the formulation "the CIA man in Dallas..." The? Even a? The clandestine types just do not go around in open associations of this kind. Thus the simple test of probability or reasonableness is failed.

How if you went to go to the trouble to try to follow this up, and I think the effort is not worth the yield, two good ways to start are with the widow of the late Dallas Deputy Sheriff Buddy Walthers and the son of the late Chief Criminal Deputy Alam (right) Sweatt (right). On my recent trip to Dallas I'd intended looking both up. I was persuaded to cut the trip short to do the Good Horning America show. Carolyn Walthers may have remarried. Sweatt's wife died before he did. He had a son who as of my last information has returned to Dallas. The story of the Cubans on Barlandale began with Walthers. (Lonnie Budkings got Walthers this job years agop) Sweatt had personal files not in the shoriff's files. I do not know the extent. I do know it included pictures. And if any of you is ever in Ballas I will provide an introduction of two to what is generally considered "the other side."

For the future you may want to recall who was whether or not he still is an authentic CIA man for that territory. J. Wlaten Hoore. There is legitimate and necessary int elligence to be collected. Whether or not Walton Hoore engaged in other activities, this one was not really secret. Oil and other industrial components do provide the most basic kinds of intelligence. Finance, too.

Excuse the typing. I'm getting a late start today.

In the byzantine world of the speckeries there is nothing more farout that this whole bit of assassination connection. Whether or not real it is exceedingly delicate. I think the best primer is two Commission top secret transcripts I obtained and published. I think you have them both and that even today they'd make good items on what happens when perpetual secrecy is expected and arcane concepts of national security and interest take over.

If you are interested in the following let me know of when I'm ready I'll give it to snother. in least will have copies by the time you get this and he is in BC. I have more than I've sent him and I'm still pushing. I've caught ERDA spending money politically and on the JFE assassination, with a phoney stady by a Fonel laureate, the respected luis alvarez. His irrogence have his even given he his personal correspondence in which he brags about bypassing Proxxire's proxiling in the ways of spending public moneyor and his notes raising the questions of controversy and political. Horeover, they did this after I filed suit against them under Folk. In a vast burgameracy there may be no cause-effect relationship but it is the actuality. By a carbon him will know it is also okey for you to have my letter of yesterday to the ERDA man. And if you like that then I have a CHA study of the same thing, the Zepruder film, which they other than either Alvarez of the Warren Consission. The CHA did not give this to the commission. I protended having nothing on it. They disgorged some of this to elin, knowing he was their own kind. I have what they gave him through Olson, some of it handwritten. In East I've published some without anyone paying any attention to it. I to the new material E've fided to Photo-graphic Whitewash. I had to reprint it.

But what do you think of spending supposedly previous high-level talent time and what is required to service the big brains on the JFK assessination during the energy crisis - and calling it basic research in "high energy physics?" I have the proof.

Restily.